



**MCI Telecommunications** Corporation

1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 202 887 2779 FAX 202 887 2204

JUL 24 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ponald H. Sussman
Regulatory Analyst
Federal Law and Sublic Policy
FILE COPY OF SUBLINE ALL

REGULATOR OF SUBLIC POLICY
REGULATOR

July 24, 1997

Mr. William F. Caton Secretary **Federal Communications Commission** Room 222 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

> Re: Local Exchange Carriers' Rates Terms, and Conditions for Expanded Interconnection Through Physical Collocation for Special Access and Switched Transport, CC Docket No. 93-162

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed herewith for filing are the original and four (4) copies of MCI Telecommunications Corporation's Opposition regarding the above-captioned matter.

Please acknowledge receipt by affixing an appropriate notation on the copy of the MCI Opposition furnished for such purpose and remit same to the bearer.

Sincerely yours,

Don Sussman

Regulatory Analyst

**Enclosure** DHS

> No. of Copies rec'd List ABODE

## RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL JUL 2 4 1997

## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

In the Matter of:	)	
Local Exchange Carriers' Rates	)	
Terms, and Conditions for	)	CC Docket No. 93-162
Expanded Interconnection Through	)	
Physical Collocation for Special	)	
Access and Switched Transport	j	

## MCI OPPOSITION OF AMERITECH'S PETITION FOR PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION

#### I. INTRODUCTION

MCI Telecommunications Corporation ("MCI") respectfully submits its Opposition to the Petition for Partial Reconsideration filed by Ameritech in the above-referenced proceeding on July 14, 1997. In that petition, Ameritech requests that the Commission reconsider the portion of its Physical Collocation Order which finds that the overhead loading factors reflected in Ameritech's 1994 rates for physical collocation services are unjust and unreasonable and requires Ameritech to refund a corresponding portion of the rates charged for physical collocation service between December 15, 1994 and the date Ameritech discontinued providing physical collocation service.

In the Matter of Local Exchange Carriers' Rates, Terms, and Conditions for Expanded Interconnection Through Physical Collocation for Special Access and Switched Transport, CC Docket No. 93-162, Second Report and Order, FCC 97-208 (released June 3, 1997) (Physical Collocation Order).

In the <u>Special Access Expanded Interconnection Order</u>,<sup>2</sup> the Commission determined that local exchange carriers (LECs) may not recover, in charges for physical collocation, a share of overhead costs greater than they recover in charges for comparable services.<sup>3</sup> The Commission reaffirmed this standard in the <u>Virtual Collocation Order</u>.<sup>4</sup> In the <u>Physical Collocation Order</u>, the Commission determined that Ameritech applied overhead loadings to physical collocation services that exceeded the level that it applied to comparable access services.<sup>5</sup>

In its Petition for Partial Reconsideration, Ameritech argues that the Commission should base its analysis of the overhead loadings Ameritech applies to comparable access services on information filed in a different proceeding (CC Docket No. 94-97). Procedurally this is incorrect. The Commission was correct to make its decision based on the information filed in the instant proceeding, CC Docket No. 93-162.

Furthermore, Ameritech's petition is misleading in that the Commission has not

Expanded Interconnection with Local Telephone Company Facilities, CC Docket No. 91-141, Report and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 7 FCC Rcd at 7429 (1992) (Special Access Expanded Interconnection Order).

In the <u>Physical Collocation Order</u>, the Commission determined that the LECs' point-to-point DS1 and DS3 special access and switched transport services, including channel termination services offered without interoffice mileage, are comparable LEC physical collocation.

Expanded Interconnection with Local Telephone Company Facilities, CC Docket No. 91-141, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 9 FCC Rcd 5154 (1994) (Virtual Collocation Order).

Based on information provided by Ameritech in this proceeding, the Commission determined that Ameritech applied overhead loading of 1.18 to its comparable DS1 access services, and overhead loadings ranging between 1.0 and 1.25 to its comparable DS3 access services.

concluded that an overhead loading of 1.58 complies with the Commission's overhead loading standard. Ameritech quotes the Commission as stating that its "overhead loadings appear to comport with the Commission's overhead loading standard." However, Ameritech fails to read the next sentence which states that the Commission makes "...this latter conclusion on the an interim basis because, depending on what is contained in additional materials that may be in the record after we resolve the confidentiality issue, our conclusion could be different." The Commission has examined the data filed in CC Docket No. 93-162 and has concluded, based on this additional data, that the overhead loadings used by Ameritech were unreasonable.

Ameritech has provided no new information in its petition demonstrating that 1.58 is the correct overhead loading that should be applied to its collocation services. Consequently, its petition for partial reconsideration should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

Don Sussman

Regulatory Analyst

1801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 887-2779

July 24, 1997

In the Matter of Local Exchange Carriers' Rates, Terms and Conditions for Expanded Interconnection Through Virtual Collocation of Special Access and Switched Transport, CC Docket No. 94-97, Phase I, Report and Order, FCC Rcd 95-200 (Released May 11, 1995) at ¶97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>ld</u>.

## STATEMENT OF VERIFICATION

I have read the foregoing and, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, there is good ground to support it, and it is not interposed for delay. I verify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 24, 1997.

Don Sussman

1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 887-2779

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

# I, Barbara Nowlin, do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing Opposition were sent via first class mail, postage paid, to the following on this 24th day of July.

Regina Keeney\*\*
Chief, Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 500
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Kathleen Levitz\*\*
Federal Communications Commission
Room 500
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Richard Metzger\*\*
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 500
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

James Schlichting \*\* (2)
Chief, Competitive Pricing Division
Federal Communications Commission
Room 518
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Judy Nitsche\*\*
Federal Communications Commission
Room 518
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Paul D'Ari\*\*
Competitive Pricing Division
Federal Communications Commission
Room 518
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554

Richard Welch\*\*
Chief, Policy and Program Planning Division
Federal Communications Commission
Room 544
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

International Transcription Service\*\* 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Andrew D. Lipman
Attorneys for MFS Communications
Comapany, Inc.
SWIDLER & BERLIN, CHARTERED
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, DC 20036

Brian Conboy
John L. McGrew
Melissa E. Newman
Attorneys for Time Warner
Communications Holdings, Inc.
WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER
Three LaFayette Centre
1155 21st Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036

Robin A. Casey
Susan C. Gentz
Attorneys for
Kansas City Fibernet, L.P.
BICKERSTAFF, HEATH & SMILEY,
L.L.P.
98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1800
Austin, TX 78701-4039

Susan McAdams
Vice President
Governmental Affairs
8100 Northeast Parkway Drive
Suite 150
Vancouver, WA 98662-6461

Kathryn Marie Krause Attorney for US West Communications, Inc. Suite 700 1020 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Heather Burnett Gold
President
Association for
Local Telecommunications Services
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 607
Washington, DC 20036

Michael S. Pabian Counsel for Ameritech Room 4H82 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Russell M. Blau
Kathy L. Cooper
Attorneys for
McLeod Telemanagement, Inc.
SWIDLER & BERLIN, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

Jay C. Keithley
W. Richard Morris
Attorneys for
United and Central Telephone
Companies
1850 M Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20036

Gail L. Polivy
Attorney for GTE Service Corporation, on behalf of its affiliated GTE Telephone Operating Companies and the GTE System Telephone Companies
1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20036

Rober M. Lynch
Durward D. Dupre
Thomas A. Pajda
Attorneys for
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
One Bell Center, Suite 3520
St. Louis, MO 63101

Michael E. Glover Edward D. Shakin Karen Zacharia Attorneys for The Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 1710 H Street, N.W. 8th Floor Washington, DC 20006

M. Robert Sutherland
Richard M. Sbaratta
Helen Shockey
Attorneys for BellSouth
4300 Southern Bell Center
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30375

William D. Basket, III
Thomas E. Taylor
David S. Bence
Attorneys for Cincinnati Bell Telephone
Company
2500 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45201-5715

Manning Lee Vice President Regulatory Affairs Teleport Communications Group, Inc. 1133 21st Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036

Barbara Nowlin

\*\* Hand delivered